

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
Patrick E. Gibbs, Bar No. 183174
patrick.gibbs@lw.com
Chris W. Johnstone, Bar No. 242152
chris.johnstone@lw.com
140 Scott Drive
Menlo Park, California 94025
Telephone: +1.650.328.4600
Facsimile: +1.650.463.2600

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
Michele D. Johnson, Bar No. 198298
michele.johnson@lw.com
650 Town Center Drive, 20th Floor
Costa Mesa, California 92626-1925
Telephone: +1.714.540.1235
Facsimile: +1.714.755.8290

10 Attorneys for Defendants STEC, Inc.,
11 Manouch Moshayedi, Mark Moshayedi,
Raymond D. Cook, and Rajat Bahri

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SOUTHERN DIVISION

16 IN RE STEC, INC. SECURITIES
17 LITIGATION

Lead Case No. 8:09-cv-01304-JVS (MLG)

18 | This Document Relates To:

ALL ACTIONS

**STIPULATION REGARDING
BRIEFING OF DEFENDANTS'
MOTIONS TO DISMISS THE
CONSOLIDATED AMENDED
COMPLAINT**

Judge: Hon. James V. Selna
Court: 10C

STIPULATION

WHEREAS on July 14, 2010, the Court issued an order appointing The State of New Jersey, Department of Treasury, Division of Investment as Lead Plaintiff in this action;

WHEREAS on August 13, 2010, Lead Plaintiff manually filed a Consolidated Amended Complaint For Violation Of The Federal Securities Laws (the “Amended Complaint”);

8 WHEREAS the Amended Complaint was not served electronically through
9 the CM/ECF docketing system for five days;

WHEREAS the Amended Complaint was served electronically through the CM/ECF docketing system on August 18, 2010;

WHEREAS the Amended Complaint is 86 pages long and contains 307 paragraphs of allegations;

WHEREAS the Amended Complaint adds new claims under Section 20(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Sections 11, 12(a)(2), and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933;

WHEREAS the Amended Complaint names five additional defendants to the lawsuit;

WHEREAS the parties have agreed to a briefing schedule for any motions to dismiss the Amended Complaint;

WHEREAS in an effort to consolidate the briefing at this stage, the parties have agreed to require parties who are represented by the same counsel to file a single motion to dismiss; and

WHEREAS the parties have agreed to allowing five additional pages of briefing for any motions to dismiss and any opposition briefs.

26 THEREFORE IT IS STIPULATED AND AGREED that:

27 1. Defendants must file any motions to dismiss the Amended Complaint
28 by October 19, 2010.

- 1 2. Lead Plaintiff must file any opposition briefs by December 20, 2010.
- 2 3. Defendants must file any reply briefs by February 3, 2011.
- 3 4. Defendants who are represented by the same counsel must file a
- 4 single motion to dismiss.
- 5 5. Any motions to dismiss may not exceed 30 pages.
- 6 6. Any opposition briefs may not exceed 30 pages.
- 7 7. The page limit for any reply briefs shall remain at 25 pages.
- 8

9 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

10

11 DATED: August 20, 2010

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

12

13

By _____ /s/
Christopher W. Johnstone
*Attorneys for Defendants STEC,
Inc., Manouch Moshayedi, Mark
Moshayedi, Raymond D. Cook, and
Rajat Bahri*

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

DATED: August 20, 2010

BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP

21

22

23

24

25

26

27


28

By _____
John D. Pernick
*Attorneys for Defendants Barclays
Capital Inc., Deutsche Bank
Securities Inc., J.P. Morgan
Securities Inc., and Oppenheimer &
Co., Inc.*

1 DATED: August 20, 2010
2
3
4
5
6
7

LABATON SUCHAROW LLP

By


Thomas A. Dubbs
Martis Alex
Thomas G. Hoffman, Jr.

LIM, RUGER & KIM, LLP
Christopher Kim
Lisa J. Yang

LITE DePALMA GREENBERG,
LLC
Allyn Z. Lite
Bruce D. Greenberg

10 *Attorneys for Lead Plaintiff The*
11 *State of New Jersey, Department of*
12 *Treasury, Division of Investment*
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28